

From: [Emily Johnson](#)
To: [Dickman, Jacquelyn S.](#); [Moser, Sandra](#); [Stark, David](#); [Weston Adams](#); [Mustian, Ben](#)
Cc: [Boyd, Jocelyn](#); [Shannon Bobertz](#); [Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne](#); [PSC Contact](#); [Court Walsh](#); [Steve Davidson](#); [Bateman, Andrew](#); [Grube-Lybarker, Carri](#); [Hall, Roger](#); [Riggini@dnr.sc.gov](#); [Parrish, Duane](#); [Martinez, Sara](#); [Butler, David](#); [Hancock, Sonya](#); [Schmieding, Janice](#); [Duke, Daphne](#); [Besley, Sharon](#)
Subject: RE: [External] Re: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E
Date: Monday, May 9, 2022 4:33:11 PM

The SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism also does not plan to attend or participate in the scheduled hearing. Thank you.

Emily Johnson
 General Counsel
 South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation & Tourism
 1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 522
 Columbia, SC 29201
 803-734-1454 (desk)
 803-849-4841 (mobile)
[SCPRT.com](#)



From: Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhec.sc.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2022 4:20 PM
To: Moser, Sandra <Sandra.Moser@psc.sc.gov>; david.stark@psc.sc.gov; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>
Cc: Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon Bobertz <BobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; clybarker@scconsumer.gov; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Riggini@dnr.sc.gov; Duane Parrish <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhec.sc.gov>; Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; Besley, Sharon <sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] Re: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

This is in response to your email reminder. Neither Sara Martinez nor I plan to attend or participate in the scheduled hearing on behalf of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control. Thank you for your consideration.

Jacquelyn S. Dickman
 Deputy General Counsel
 SC DHEC
 2600 Bull St.
 Columbia, SC 29201
 803-898-3350

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying or distribution or any action taken or omitted in reliance on it is

prohibited and may be unlawful. If you received this email in error please let me know by reply email and then please delete this email.

From: Moser, Sandra <Sandra.Moser@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2022 12:12 PM
To: david.stark@psc.sc.gov <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>
Cc: Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon Bobertz <BobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhc.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; clybarker@scconsumer.gov <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; RigginL@dnr.sc.gov <RigginL@dnr.sc.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhc.sc.gov>; Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; Besley, Sharon <sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Re: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

*** Caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

Dear Parties of Record,

This is a quick reminder for all parties to fill out the survey at the following link (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/3JCBN59>) if you have not already. The Commission will need this information by the close of business tomorrow (5/10). It should only take a few moments, and your attention to it is much appreciated.

Thank you to all of those who have already filled out the form. Additionally, if any contact information has changed since filling it out, please let us know.

Thanks,
 Sandra

From: Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>
Cc: Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon Bobertz <BobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhc.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Lorianne Riggin <RigginL@dnr.sc.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhc.sc.gov>; Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; Moser, Sandra <Sandra.Moser@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: RE: [External] Re: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

Ben, Weston, and all Parties:

That clarified the problem. I see how that would be confusing and apologize for that. As you noted, the Hearing Officer Directive was issued two weeks before the Commission Order was issued. There was an unintended timing issue here - the Commission Order No. 2022-271 was the result of the Commission Directive issued on 3/31/22 – only. It contemplated a consultation with the Chief Hearing Officer which had, in fact, already been conducted via email between the parties and me, resulting in the issuance of the Hearing Officer Directive 2022-32-H.

In other words, the will of the Commission as stated in its Directive – and later issued in its Order – was already effectuated prior to the issuance of the Order.

I would like to be absolutely, unequivocally clear about the current schedule:

The schedule currently approved in the docket for action is as follows:

May 12: brief convening of hearing with only counsel present, to satisfy statutory requirement to convene proceeding within 60 to 90 days of application being filed.

June 8: ORS and Other Parties Testimony due

June 22: Applicant Rebuttal Testimony due

July 1: ORS Surrebuttal Testimony due

July 13: Full witness hearing

Regarding the parties' discussion of seeking a change from this schedule, I understand the Applicant and ORS are jointly proposing an alternative schedule. If my understanding is correct, I think it would be most appropriate to file a request for a change to the procedural schedule. It would also be helpful if the other parties to the proceeding were to comment on their respective positions regarding such jointly proposed alternative schedule.

Once again, I want to specifically apologize for the confusion resulting from this timing issue and any consternation resulting thereof.

If I have failed to address any point related to the procedural status of these dockets, please let me know. I will take care of it immediately.

With Sincere Regards,

David Stark

From: Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2022 4:17 PM

To: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>

Cc: Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon

Robertz <RobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhec.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Lorianne Riffin <RiffinL@dnr.sc.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhec.sc.gov>; Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; Moser, Sandra <Sandra.Moser@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: [External] Re: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

David:

Our impression was that the April 28 Commission Order was the latest word from the Commission on the scheduling question, and because it called for Applicants and ORS to consult with you as to a new hearing date, Ben sent you the revised proposal this morning. Applicants would still prefer the proposal that Ben put forward this morning in his email, and I believe ORS would still consent to that proposal- Ben can confirm that ORS is still on board with same.

Please let us know what would be most helpful to you in regard to Applicants and ORS proposing this revised schedule—we are happy to submit a letter or a more formal Motion if need be.

Thanks again

Weston

Sent from my iPhone

On May 5, 2022, at 3:56 PM, Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov> wrote:

◀External Email▶ - From: bmustian@ors.sc.gov

David,

I apologize for any confusion I may have caused. As mentioned in my email below, the Commission issued the attached Order No. 2022-271 on April 28. Therein, the Commission stated that ORS and the Applicants had consented to the following schedule, which was the schedule originally proposed by the parties back on March 15:

Convene the hearing to satisfy statutory requirements – May 12, 2022
 ORS and Other Parties Testimony due – June 15, 2022
 Applicant Rebuttal Testimony due – June 29, 2022
 ORS Surrebuttal Testimony due – July 13, 2022
 Full witness hearing – July 18, 2022

The Order further advised that the Commission had a scheduling conflict on July 18 and asked the parties to confer about new dates.

You are correct that you issued a hearing officer directive on April 14 (two weeks

prior to the Commission's Order) approving the schedule you outlined below. But due to the timing of the Commission order, ORS and the Applicant were of the belief that additional changes to the schedule were needed and that the parties needed to confer with you as the hearing officer about these issues.

In conjunction with this issue, I understand the Applicant was considering filing a request with the Commission to modify the July hearing date. Given that their potential request coincided with the issuance of Commission Order No. 2022-271, the Applicant and ORS took that into consideration when proposing a new hearing timeframe, which we understood the Commission was directing us to do in Order No. 2022-271.

I now understand that the issuance of the Order may have been out of time, which caused our confusion. If that is correct, then I understand the currently approved schedule is as you stated below:

May 12: brief convening of hearing with only counsel present, to satisfy statutory requirement to convene proceeding within 60 to 90 days of application being filed.
 June 8: ORS and Other Parties Testimony due
 June 22: Applicant Rebuttal Testimony due
 July 1: ORS Surrebuttal Testimony due
 July 13: Full witness hearing

Although this is the schedule you noted below, I would appreciate it if you could confirm that this is the currently approved schedule so that we all are certain of the baseline off of which we are working.

Finally, I have spoken with counsel for the Applicant and understand that they still may request a modification to the hearing date. But I understand they will be submitting a more formal request in that regard should they choose to do so.

I am sure that I have made everything as clear as mud, but appreciate your patience and for working with us to clear up any confusion.

Thank you and please let me know if there are further questions.

Ben Mustian

From: Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2022 2:22 PM

To: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon Bobertz <BobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhec.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>;

Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Lorianne Riggins <RigginL@dnr.sc.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhec.sc.gov>
Cc: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Moser, Sandra <Sandra.Moser@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: RE: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E
Importance: High

Parties:

There are two issues I need to address directly.

The first: **I would ask the parties please fill out the following virtual media plan survey, so we have all the appropriate information to enable us to use technology in furtherance of our hearing(s).** That link is here:

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/3JCBN59>

The second issue: It appears there has been some miscommunication on the scheduling of the hearing(s) and I would like to resolve it at this time.

There was a schedule proposed by the parties that had the following:

May 12: brief convening of hearing with only counsel present, to satisfy statutory requirement to convene proceeding within 60 to 90 days of application being filed.
 June 8: ORS and Other Parties Testimony due
 June 22: Applicant Rebuttal Testimony due
 July 1: ORS Surrebuttal Testimony due
 July 13: Full witness hearing

I understand this was the desire of the parties. On April 14, after emails between the parties and an acknowledgement that a status conference would be difficult to put together, I issued Hearing Officer Directive 2022-32-H available here:
<https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Attachments/Matter/42125da5-6c64-4423-bc29-53ff2dc59a52>

If this Directive was not appropriately distributed to you, please let me know and I assure you it will be addressed.

In that Directive, I have approved the proposed schedule, with a merits hearing on July 13. Now, I need the parties to understand – as I emphasized in the Directive – that, should the hearing take longer than that ONE day, July 13, that we would have to continue at a future, non-contiguous date due to conflicts in the Commission’s schedule.

Now, I need to understand EXACTLY what the parties want to do here. If the parties are jointly requesting to deviate from that approved schedule, I need to know.

If I have in any way inadvertently mislead the parties, I deeply apologize and would like to correct any and all ambiguities at this time.

Sincere Regards,
David Stark

From: Mustian, Ben <BMustian@ors.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2022 11:01 AM
To: Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>; Shannon Bobertz <BobertzS@dnr.sc.gov>; Emily Johnson <ejohnson@scprt.com>; Dickman, Jacquelyn S. <DICKMAJS@dhec.sc.gov>; Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; Court Walsh <court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com>; Steve Davidson <steve.davidson@nelsonmullins.com>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Lorianne Riggins <RigginsL@dnr.sc.gov>; Parrish, Duane <dparrish@scprt.com>; Martinez, Sara <martinsv@dhec.sc.gov>
Cc: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Hancock, Sonya <sonya.hancock@psc.sc.gov>; Schmieding, Janice <Janice.Schmieding@psc.sc.gov>; Duke, Daphne <Daphne.Duke@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: RE: Revised proposed consent schedule: Docket Nos. 2022-93-E & 2022-97-E

David,

As you know, ORS and the Applicants in the above-referenced matters have been working together to propose a consent procedural schedule for the Commission's consideration. On April 28, 2022, the Commission issued Order No. 2022-271 advising that, while the parties consented to holding the substantive hearing in this matter on July 18, the Commission needs to explore alternatives to that date due to a scheduling conflict. Accordingly, the Commission directed the parties to discuss with the Hearing Officer an alternative procedural schedule for filing testimony and exhibits and to advise if beginning the hearing at a different date and time is agreeable.

After discussing this matter, ORS and the Applicants have agreed to the following proposed testimony and hearing schedule:

Convene the hearing to satisfy statutory requirements – May 12, 2022
 ORS and Other Parties Testimony due – June 15, 2022
 Applicant Rebuttal Testimony due – June 29, 2022
 ORS Surrebuttal Testimony due – July 13, 2022

Full witness hearing – On a date suitable to the Commission to begin between August 23 and August 30, 2022.

For clarity, this proposal would maintain the currently agreed upon testimony dates and would provide for the substantive hearing to begin sometime between August 23 through 30, 2022, on a date convenient to the Commission.

I would appreciate it if any of the statutory parties would advise of any objection to the proposed consent schedule.

Otherwise, please let us know if the Commission has any concern with these dates and if a date sometime between August 23 through 30 to commence the hearing in this matter is acceptable to the Commission.

Thank you.

Ben Mustian

Benjamin P. Mustian

Deputy General Counsel, Legal Department
Office of Regulatory Staff

BMUSTIAN@ORS.SC.GOV

(803) 737-0898

1401 Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged material. Any review, transmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from all computers.

Confidentiality Notice

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not

authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately either by phone (800-237-2000) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message.